Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/677,943	NELSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Szperka	1644	

All Participants:

(1) *Michael Szperka*.

(2) *Gwynedd Warren*.

Status of Application: *non-final rejection*

(3) *Christina Chan*.

(4) *Patrick Nolan*.

Date of Interview: *25 April 2006*

Time: *2:30 PM*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
40

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative Gwenedd Warren approved the changes made to claim 40 by examiner's amendment to place the claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)